COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 1154-05

Bill No.: SCS for HCS for HB 545

Subject: Boards, Committees, Commissions, Councils; Cities, Towns, and Villages;

Political Subdivisions; Taxation and Revenue - Sales and Use; Tourism

Type: Original

Date: April 28, 2011

Bill Summary: This proposal repeals certain requirements for board members of a tourism

community enhancement district in the city of Riverside and modifies

provisions relating to transient guest taxes.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 1154-05

Bill No. SCS for HCS for HB 545

Page 2 of 5 April 28, 2011

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0

- ☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Local Government	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)	\$0 to (Unknown)

L.R. No. 1154-05

Bill No. SCS for HCS for HB 545

Page 3 of 5 April 28, 2011

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Section 67.1956

Officials from the **County of Platte** and the **City of Riverside** did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

Oversight assumes this proposal removes certain requirements for qualifications for members of tourism community enhancements districts. **Oversight** assumes this proposal adds no new expenditure of funds or adds no new duties; therefore, Oversight assumes there would be no state or local fiscal impact.

Sections 67.1003 & 67.1005

Officials from the **Department of Revenue** and the **County of St. Louis** assume that there is no fiscal impact from this proposal.

Oversight assumes there would be some cities and counties that are currently collecting this tax that would be prohibited from collecting a transient guest tax under this proposal; therefore, Oversight assumes a \$0 to unknown cost to local governments.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014

LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUNDS

Loss - transient guest tax

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON	
LOCAL GOVERNMENT FUNDS	
	/TT 1

<u>\$0 to</u>	<u>\$0 to</u>	<u>\$0 to</u>
(Unknown)	<u>(Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>

L.R. No. 1154-05

Bill No. SCS for HCS for HB 545

Page 4 of 5 April 28, 2011

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

Sections 67.1003 & 67.1005

Currently, certain cities and counties are authorized to impose, upon voter approval, a transient guest tax of up to 5% for the promotion of tourism or for the promotion of tourism and funding a convention and visitors bureau. Cities and counties that already impose a transient guest tax pursuant to any other section of law are prohibited from imposing the transient guest tax.

This act prohibits any city from imposing a transient guest tax if the city is located in whole or in part in a county that already imposes a transient guest tax. In addition, any county that has a city located in whole or in part within its boundaries that already imposes a transient guest tax is prohibited from also imposing a transient guest tax.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Revenue County of St. Louis

NOT RESPONDING

Cities of: Ashland, Belton, Bernie, Bonne Terre, Boonville, California, Cape Girardeau, Clayton, Columbia, Dardenne Prairie, Excelsior Springs, Florissant, Frontenac, Fulton, Gladstone, Grandview, Harrisonville, Independence, Jefferson City, Joplin, Kansas City, Kearney, Kirksville, Knob Noster, Ladue, Lake Ozark, Lebanon, Lee Summit, Liberty, Linn, Louisiana, Maryland Heights, Maryville, Mexico, Neosho, O'Fallon, Pacific, Peculiar, Popular Bluff, Raytown, Republic, Richmond, Riverside, Rolla, Sedalia, Springfield, St. Charles, St. Joseph, St. Louis, St. Robert, Sugar Creek, Sullivan, Warrensburg, Warrenton, Webb City, Weldon Spring, West Plains

L.R. No. 1154-05 Bill No. SCS for HCS for HB 545 Page 5 of 5 April 28, 2011

NOT RESPONDING (continued)

Counties of: Andrew, Barry, Bates, Boone, Buchanan, Butler, Callaway, Camden, Cape Girardeau, Carroll, Cass, Clay, Cole, Cooper, DeKalb, Franklin, Greene, Hickory, Holt, Jackson, Jasper, Jefferson, Johnson, Knox, Laclede, Lafayette, Lawrence, Lincoln, Marion, Miller, Moniteau, Monroe, Montgomery, New Madrid, Nodaway, Ozark, Pemiscot, Perry, Phelps, Platte, Pulaski, Scott, St. Charles, St. Francois, Taney, Texas, Warren, Webster

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director April 28, 2011